

Formal response

Please deliver this to Examiner Bashore Thx.

FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL SHEET

Examiner William L Bashore	PROM: Joe Zheng	Ollie
COMPANY:	DATE:	
Group Art Unit: 2167 (AN NUMBER: (703)308-6606	12/20/01 TOTAL NO. OF PAGES INCLUDING 7	COVER:
PHONE NUMBER: (703)308-5807	SENDERS REFERENCE NUMBER: 2276-03	<u> </u>
Proposed response for discussion	уо га баговенск кумы ны 09/754,969	:
☑ URGENT ☑ FOR REVIEW ☐ PLEAS	E COMMENT	D PLEASE RECYCLE

Dear Mr. Examiner Bashore:

The following 7 pages are a formal response faxed to your attention Nov. 6, 2001. The first page is an AUTO-REPLY Facsimile Transmission from the USPTO fax machine to prove that the response was faxed as claimed.

Respectfully,

Joe Zheng Reg. No: 39,450

18026 KING COURT, SARATOGA, CA 95070





11/86/81 16:38:57

USPTO->

4008739249 RightPAX

Page 201

TO: Automorphy fax to 4088739249 COMPANY:

Auto-Reply Facsimile Transmission

To: From: Fax Sender at 4088739249

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Fax information...

Date Received: Total Pages:

11/6/01 4:30:20 PM [Eastern Standard Time]

(eggq revoc gnibulani) 8

ADVISORY: This is an automatically generated return receipt confirmation of your facsimile transmission. Please confirm the number of pages received is consistent with that intended to be sent. Applicants are arivised to use the certificate of facsimile transmission procedures when submitting a reply to a non-final or final Office action by facsimile (37 CFR 1.8(a)). Applicants are also advised to retain this return receipt in the unlikely event that the Office has no record of the facsimile submission. whether the facsimilie submission is a reply to an Office action (37 CFR 1.8(b)), or a continued

Received Cover Page

======>

	ANSMITTAL SHEET
Lineaner William L Borbory	ou 71.60g
Group An Unit 2:67	147% 11702/05
777 374-36Kc	that on the half of courts in 20079
Contracts	14, 14,765 1,75 (2016) 19 19 19 19 18 2276-03
Proposition position for discussion	00/9 \$4,035
respectant requirement of	ensine. Environd in a Annual processes
responses to the first the interestion of convenient time.	. संबंधित और ११ वर क्षाप्रदेश का अरोपानीयोग के पंचारतको
verpovice to flotterary the interview ((कर्षी नहीं कुछ न प्रहारेक का क्लोपादीयोग क राज्याको



Formal response

	ANSMITTAL SHE	
Examiner William L. Bashore	гком: Joe Zheng	!
COMPANY:	DATE:	
Group Art Unit: 2167	11/06/01	
FAX NUMBER: (703)308-6606	TOTAL NO. OF PAGES INCLUDING	COVER:
PHONE NUMBER: (703)308-5807	SHNDER'S REFERENCE NUMBER 2276-03	
Proposed response for discussion	your reference number: 09/754,969	
☐ URGENT ☐ FOR REVIEW ☐ PLRASE	COMMENT PLEASE REPLY	☐ PLEASE RECYCLE
NOTES/COMMENTS:		
Dear Mr. Examiner Bashore:		• • •
The above case is now under respectfully requests a telephonic interesponse to facilitate the interview. I convenient time.	erview. Enclosed is a 4-page	proposed
		•
Respectfully,		· :
		:
		•
Joe Zheng		
Joe Zheng Reg. No: 39,450		
•		
•	٠.	

18026 KING COURT, SARATOGA, CA 95070

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant(s):

Evan \$. Huang

Title:

Method and Apparatus for Generating Structured Documents for

Various Presentations

Serial No.:

09/754,969

Filing Date:

01/05/2001

Examiner:

William L. Bashore

Group Art Unit:

2176

Docket No:

2276-03

November 3, 2001

Assistant Commissioner for Patents

Box FA

Washington, DC 20231

Response to Final Office Action

Dear Sir:

This is to respond to the Final Office Action mailed on 09/11/01.

Claims 1 - 42 are pending. In the Office Action, the Claims 1 - 42 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Borgendale et al (US Pat. No.: 5,276,793) in view of Japanese Publication – Pub. No.: JP08030619A by Fuji Xerox, both cited references are referred to hereinafter as Borgendale and Fuji Xerox, respectively. The rejections are fully traversed below. Reconsideration of Claims 1 - 42 is respectfully requested based on the following remarks.

Remarks

The Applicant wishes to thank the Examiner for the telephone interview on November 2, 2001. Although no agreement was reached, the Applicant had the opportunity to discuss with the Examiner on Claim 1 with respect to the cited references